

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/032,854	10/26/2001	Richard C. Essig 2060/67 EXAMINER		9052
23381 75	590 10/06/2003			NER
DORR CARSON SLOAN & BIRNEY, PC 3010 EAST 6TH AVENUE			ELKINS, GARY E	
DENVER, CO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	γK
Application No. Applicant(s)	
10/032,854 ESSIG, RICHARD C	
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit	
Gary E. Elkins 3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addressed for Reply	iss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the reclosed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	nerits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 21-33</u> is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20 and 34-39</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 October 2001</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	_
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National State application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	age
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4, 5. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1449) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/032,854 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 15, last 5 lines, the limitation that the arrangement will "selectively raise the free end of said gate relative to the opening in said vehicle to properly align said gate..." does not appear to be supported by the disclosure. The disclosed spare tire carrier or arrangement is disclosed as preventing distortion or sagging of the rear door by allowing adjustment of the legs and by the relative positioning of the tire and attachment locations of the carrier. It is not understood how the arrangement corrects or raises the door relative to the vehicle opening after sagging has occurred.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: no description can be found of the terminology used in the last 5 lines of claim 15.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the embodiment "to selectively raise

Application/Control Number: 10/032,854 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

the free end of said gate relative..." as set forth in claim 15, last 5 lines must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 15-20 and 34-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The last 5 lines of claim 15 are unclear with respect to how the arrangement corrects or repositions a sagging rear door on a vehicle.

In each of claims 16, 20, 34, and 39, the term "mountable" is unclear insofar as the elements are being claimed "In a vehicle", i.e. if the elements are in the vehicle, they are already mounted as opposed to being capable of being mounted.

In claim 34, lines 4 and 5, "such as a spare tire" is unclear in scope, i.e. one cannot determine the scope of this phrase.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,854 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

6. Claim 15, as best understood in view of paragraphs 1-4 above, is rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright in view of either Kreis et al or Newkirk.
Wright discloses a rear gate on a vehicle but does not disclose an arrangement allowing
correction of sagging of the door. Each of Kreis et al and Newkirk discloses hinge constructions
which allow correction and adjustment of vehicle doors relative to the opening in the vehicle. It
would have been obvious to make the rear door in Wright with hinges as taught by either Kreis et
al or Newkirk to allow easy adjustment of the door due to sagging.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-14 and 21-33 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 34-39 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Due to the unclear disclosure regarding claims 16-20, no indication of allowability can be made at this time. Further consideration of these claims will be made upon response by Applicant.

Conclusion

The remaining cited prior art is illustrative of the general state of the art.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses in Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)305-3579, 3580, 3588, or 3590. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the Examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the Examiner.

Art Unit: 3727

If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent that certain documents are missing, e.g. copies of references cited, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703)306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist at (703)308-1078.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Gary Elkins at telephone number (703)308-1034. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Lee Young can be reached at (703)308-2572.

Gary E. Elkins

Primary Examiner

gee

29 September 2003